

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

January 22, 2010

Mr. Paul Hoag, Treasurer
Ohio Republican Party State Central &
Executive Committee
211 S. Fifth Street
Columbus, OH 43215

Response Due Date: February 26, 2010

Identification Number:

C00162339

Reference:

November Monthly Report (10/1/09 - 10/31/09)

Dear Treasurer:

This letter is prompted by the Commission's preliminary review of the report(s) referenced above. This notice requests information essential to full public disclosure of your federal election campaign finances. Failure to adequately respond by the response date noted above could result in an audit or enforcement action. Additional information is needed for the following 2 items:

- 1. Schedule A supporting Line 15 of the Detailed Summary Page discloses a refund(s) or rebate(s) of what appears to be a previously disclosed allocable expense(s) from "ABS COBRA Administration," "ADP Payroll" and "US Postmaster." Please be advised that when a committee receives a refund or rebate of an allocable expense, it must be allocated between the federal and non-federal accounts according to the same allocation ratio used to allocate the original disbursement. Furthermore, the federal account must transfer the non-federal portion to the non-federal account and disclose this transfer-out on Schedule H4. Your report does not appear to disclose a transfer-out of the non-federal portion of this refund(s) or rebate(s). Please provide clarification regarding this apparent omission.
- 2. Schedule H4 supporting Line 21(a) of your report discloses a payment(s) for "HDQ staff health & life insurance Nov '09." Please be advised that pursuant to 11 CFR §300.33(c)(2), salaries and wages for employees who spend more than 25% of their compensated time in a given month on Federal election activity (FEA) or activities in connection with a Federal election must not be allocated between or among federal and non-federal accounts. Rather, only federal funds may be used. Further, the Commission concluded in Advisory Opinion 2003-11 that amounts spent